

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
08/602,503	02/20/1996		MICHAEL B. BALL	2718US	4539		
	7590 09/21/2004				EXAMINER		
JOSEPH A V	VALKOWSK	NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, DILINH P				
TRASK BRIT	T & ROSSA						
PO BOX 2550)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84	2814					

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		08/602,5		BALL, MICHAEL B.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
	r.	DiLinh N	guyen	2814	AN I			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply		- •		ress			
A SHO THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum s e to reply within the set or extended period for repl eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e' munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	;							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>28 June 2004</u> .						
·	·							
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 19,21-23 and 25-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19,21-23,25,27 and 29-34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 26 and 28 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the applicant drawing sheet(s) including	e: a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	R 1.121(d).			
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form PT0	D-152 .			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/602,503

Art Unit: 2814

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In lines 7-8 of claim 19, replace "conductive epoxy adhesive" with –electrically conductive epoxy adhesive--.

In line 9 of claim 19, replace "conductive epoxy adhesive" with –electrically conductive epoxy adhesive--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846).

Wood et al. disclose a method of fabricating a multi-die assembly, comprising: providing a substrate 26 including a plurality of conductors 28;

attaching at least one active face down base die 12B to the substrate in electrical communication with at least some of the plurality of conductors;

providing a layer of adhesive 22 to a back side of the at least one base die; placing a back side of at least one active face up stack die 12t on the layer of adhesive 22 (figs. 4 and 5, column 4, lines 59-64);

Art Unit: 2814

curing the layer of adhesive (column 4, lines 13-19) and securing the back side of at least one stack die to the at least one base die (figs. 4-5, column 4, lines 57-64).

Wood et al. fail to disclose the adhesive layer is an electrically conductive epoxy adhesive and providing a direct electrical path between the dice.

Kaiser discloses a method of fabricating a multi-die assembly, comprising:

providing a base die 14;

providing a stack die 22;

providing an electrically conductive adhesive 20 (fig. 1, column 2, lines 55-56) is between the base die and the stack die;

providing a direct electrical path between the at least one stack die and base die (column 2, lines 27-32);

electrically grounding the at least one base die via the layer of electrically conductive adhesive and the at least one stack die (fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device structure of Wood et al. to provide an electrical connection between the chips (column 2, lines 28-30), as shown by Kaiser.

3. Claims 21-23, 25, 27, 29 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846) and further in view of Fogal et al. (U.S. Pat. 5323060).

Wood et al. and Kaiser fail to disclose at least one discrete component to at least one of the stack die, the base die or the substrate.

Fogal et al. disclose a multichip module (fig. 5, column 3, lines 43 et seq.) comprising:

a discrete component 75 to the substrate 12;

a discrete components 76 and 78 to an adhesive layer 77 to an upper uppermost chip 85; and

a bond wires 44a, 44b, and 79-81, wherein the bond wires bonding to the substrate and the chips. Fogal et al. show that discrete components can be added, while it is not specifically pointed out, the discrete component could include a filer (by pass) capacitor (column 3, line 53) which is needed for proper device operation and is not normally formed as part of a chip. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wood et al. and Kaiser to provide additional necessary components.

- Regarding claim 22, Fogal et al. disclose extending a component to substrate bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component 76/75 and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors (fig. 5).
- Regarding claim 23, Fogal et al. disclose a multi-chip semiconductor (fig. 1, column 2, lines 35 et seq.) comprising: securing at least another stack die 54 to the assembly and electrically connecting the at least another stack die and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
- Regarding claim 25, Fogal et al. disclose securing the at least another stack die
 54 to the at least one stack die 28.

Regarding claim 27, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete
component 76/78 to at least one stack die and extending a component to
substrate bond wire 79 between the at least one discrete component and at least
one of the plurality of substrate conductors.

- Regarding claim 29, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete
 component to the at least one base die, and extending a component to substrate
 bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component and at least one
 of the plurality of substrate conductors.
- Regarding claim 33, Fogal et al. disclose securing at least one discrete component to the substrate; and extending a die to component bond wire between the at least one stack die and the at least one discrete component.
- Regarding claim 34, Fogal et al. disclose extending a die to component bond wire 79/44b between the at least one discrete component and at least one of the plurality of substrate conductors.
- 4. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood et al. (U.S. Pat. 5851845) in view of Kaiser (U.S. Pat. 5281846) and further in view of Rostoker (U.S. Pat. 5399898).

Wood et al. and Kaiser disclose the claimed invention except for not further disclose the face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die to the substrate.

Rostoker discloses the attaching at least one active face down base die includes attaching at least two active face down base die 404 and 410 (fig. 4a, column 14, lines

Application/Control Number: 08/602,503 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

40 et seq.) to the substrate 402 and electrically coupling each of the base die with one of the plurality substrate conductors 406 and 412; a bridging 416 at least one stack die between the two base die; and further comprising securing at least another stack die over the at least one stack die (fig. 3b). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Wood et al. and Kaiser to provide a greater power dissipation and a natural convection cooling channel and design flexibility in mounting semiconductor devices, as shown by Rostoker.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 26 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 08/602,503 Page 7

Art Unit: 2814

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN

Wael talmy